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All presentations, meetings, communications and initiatives undertaken by IIGCC are designed solely to support investors in understanding risks and opportunities associated with climate change and take action to address them. Our work is conducted in accordance with all the relevant laws, including data protection, competition laws and acting in concert rules. It is a foundational principle of how IIGCC and its members work together that the choice to adopt guidance, best practice tools or tactics prepared by IIGCC is always at the ultimate discretion of individual members based on their own decision making. IIGCC do not require or seek collective decision-making or action with respect to acquiring, holding, disposing and/or voting of securities. Members are independent fiduciaries responsible for their own investment and voting decisions and must always act completely independently to set their own strategies, policies and practices based on their own best interests.
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Organising requesting a ‘flag’ on a resolution

- As lead investors of the Shell Climate Action 100+ engagement, PGGM Investments supports the flagging of a shareholder resolution from Follow This.
  - [Voting in the 2023 AGM season | PGGM](#)

Resolution text

- PGGM Investments supports flagging the Follow This resolution and stating their intention to vote **FOR**:
  - The shareholder resolution calls for Shell to align its existing 2030 scope 3 reduction target with the goal of the Paris Climate Agreement.

Summary of why resolution is being flagged

- There is insufficient evidence that Shell’s current strategy is aligned with a 1.5°C warming pathway. This is supported by the Climate Action 100+ Net Zero Company Benchmark indicator 3.3, which indicates that it lacks sufficient mid-term ambition in its reduction of oil and gas production and/or increase of low carbon targets.

- Regarding Shell’s methodology to prove its Paris alignment (Energy Transition Progress Report 2023, p. 12), the company does not disclose which scenarios it has filtered out or its method for eliminating outlying values. This makes it challenging to confirm validity of the approach.

- Shell provides insufficient disclosure to show that its strategy will lead to a global reduction in emissions in line with 1.5°C. For example, it is unclear how its oil and gas production will develop by 2030 or if its low carbon activities will grow to represent a significant part of their 2030 energy mix.

- The shareholder resolution does not prescribe what kind of target should be implemented or how the company should become Paris-aligned. This allows Shell the flexibility to find ways to increase its ambitions without compromising profitability.